Release - Immediate

BISON AND WARRIOR WHEAT GAIN: CHEYENNE AND NEBRED DECLINE

The 1962 survey of Nebraska Wheat Varieties, made by the State-Federal Division of Agricultural Statistics, showed further declines in popularity of the Cheyenne and Nebred varieties of winter wheat and gains for Bison and Warrior. Other varieties making moderate increases in the percentage of the total wheat planted are: Wichita, Triumph and Rodco. Spring wheat varieties have faded from the scene and Ponca, Pawnee Selection 33, and Comanche have lost popularity.

The acreage devoted to Pawnee and Bison was 24.9 percent of the total wheat planted in each case. For Bison, this represented a gain of 4.8 percentage points since 1961, but Pawnee slipped 2 percentage points to reach that level. The Pawnee variety hit a peak percentage of the total in 1954 at 35.7 percent. Bison wheat has had rapid acceptance in the State. It occupied 7.1 percent of the acreage in 1959 and 20.1 percent in 1961.

Cheyenne wheat, a long-time favorite in the western districts, lost 5.6 percentage points. At 21.7 percent, it was at the lowest percentage level since the 1939 survey was made. Nebred has yielded to Warrior in the western districts and to Bison in all sections of the State. Nebred wheat now has 11.9 percent of the acreage, compared with 26.6 percent in 1954.

STRONG GLUTEN VARIETIES REPRESENT TWO-THIRDS OF TOTAL

Strong gluten varieties of wheat considered excellent for flour used by commercial bakeries made up about two-thirds of the total State wheat acreage. Principal varieties in this class are Cheyenne, Nebred, Bison, Ponca and Warrior.

Mellow gluten wheats made up the bulk of the remaining acreage. Principal varieties in this group include Pawnee, Wichita, Triumph and Omaha. They have desirable characteristics for family flour and blending. Only a fraction of one percent of the acreage was devoted to weak gluten or objectionable varieties.

DISTRICT ESTIMATES REVEAL STRONG PREFERENCE

The estimated acreage of each variety harvested by Crop Reporting Districts show a wide range in preference for the different varieties of wheat. Pawnee wheat is heavily favored in the eastern districts, while Cheyenne is popular in the west. Over half of the wheat acreage in the Panhandle is Cheyenne and nearly 20 percent is Nebred. Warrior now claims 12 percent of the acreage in the Northwest District. The central districts prefer Bison. Most of the Wichita variety is found in the southern districts.

NE BRASKA -	-WHEAT AC	REAGE HARVI	ESTED BY	VARIETI	ES, BY C	ROP REPORT	ING DIS	TRICTS, 1962
DISTRICTS :	Pawnee	:Cheyenne					:Other	: All Varieties
	• •		T h o	usan	d Ac	res		
Northwest	. 3	340	118	41	74	25	9	610
North Central			8	5	a ==		2	15
Northeast	18		4	.3			5	30
Central	. 25	3	43	67	7	1	3	149
East Central.	300	. 2	29	69		1	76	477
Southwest	8	210	71	169	21	29	15	523
South Central	84	14	28	221	1	22	18	. 388
Southeast	271	2	15	120		29	131	568
State	70 9	571	316	695	103	107	259	2,760

The Nebraska wheat variety and quality surveys are made possible; by matching funds provided jointly by the Nebraska Department of Agriculture and Inspection and the Agricultural Marketing Scrvice, U. S. Department of Agriculture under provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Act of 1946.

NEBRASKA--WHEAT VARIETIES

Estimated percentages planted to each variety for selected years

Variety :	1939	: : 1944 :	1949	: : 1954 :	1959	: 1961 : :	1962
	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
Pawnee		.3	33.4	35.7.	31.0	26.9	24. 9
Cheyenne	14.8	22.7	25.2	27.5	28.9	27.3	21.7
Nebred	2	15.3	26.1	26.6	25.1	17.0	11.9
Bison					7.1	20.1	24.9
Ponca				.2	2.4	2.2	2.1
Wichita			.2	1.5	1.4	2.0	3.8
Turkey	58.0	43.4	7.8	2.7	1.0	. 4	.4
Triumph			.1	.3	.6	1.6	2.7
Comanche			.2	.7	.4	.3	.2
Pawnee Sel. 33					.3	.5 🛷	~~~
Kiowa					.2	.3	.3
Omaha					~-~-	.2 🗥	1.7
Warrior						.2	4.0
Nebraska 60	10.1	4.8	.7	•5	. 2	.1	.1
Tenmarq	. 2	2.8	1.4	.5	.2	.1	.1
Sioux'				1.1	.1	.1	
Rodco						.1	.3
Kanred	2.4	.8	.3	.2			
Iowin	.1	.5	.1	. 2			
Blackhull	6.2	4.8	1.7	. 2			
Ottawa							.3
Apache				.3			
Chiefkan	.1	.2	.4	.2			
Stafford				.1			
Mida			.7	.7	.1	.1	
Rushmore.		, 		2			
Lec						11	
Selkirk		·		`		.1	
Thatcher	.3	.9	.3				
Rival				.1	·		
Ceres	1.6	, . 8	.2				
Vigo Unknown or				.2			
not specified.	1.5	.1	.4	. 2	1.0	.3	.6

NEBRASKA--WHEAT VARIETIES HARVESTED, 1962

DISTRICE	. Pawnee	: Cheyenne	: Nebred	: Bison :	wichita	: warrior	: Other <u>/1</u>
	·	:	:	: :			•
,	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
Northwest	5	55.7	19.4	6.8	4.1	12.1	1.4
North Central.			53.4	35.7	,		10.9
Northeast	60.7	*	14.5	11.5			13.3
Central	16.5	1.8	29.0	44.8		4.9	3.0
East Central.	777 62.8	4	6.1	14.5			16.2
Southwest	1.6	40.2	13.6	32.3	5.5	4.0	2.8
South Central.	21.8	3.5	7.2	56.9	5.6	.3	4.7
Southeast	47.7	.3	. 2.7	21.1	5.1	, ,	23.1
State	25.8	20.7	11.5	25.3	3.9	3.7	9.1

^{/1} Includes Omaha, Ottawa, Comanche, Tenmarq, Turkey, Pawnee Selection 33, Kanred, Kiowa, Rodco, Triumph, Nebraska 60, and varieties unknown or not specified.

NEBRASKA--WHEAT YIELDS PER ACRE, BY VARIETIES, 1962

		by C	rop Report	ing Distr	icts	* *		
District :	Pawnee :	Cheyenne	: Nebred :	Bison :	: Warrior :	Wichita	: Other : :	Total
	Bu.	Bu.	Bu.	Bu.	Bu.	Bu.	Bu.	Bu.
Northwest	25.7	17.2	17.1	24.2	21.0	29.8	13.0	18.6
North Central.	21:1	9.0	`9.3	16.9			12,0	12.2
Northeast	15.0		10.3	14.6			16.2	14.4
Central	12:2	4.0	9.8	11.8	16.5		15.3	11.3
East Central	21.0	11.5	15.8	14.9		27.0	23.3	20.1
Southwest	25.1	23.3	19.4	19.7	26.4	23.5	18.3	21.7
South Central.	19.4	14.2	16.3	14.5	10.5	18.9	17.1	16.0
Southeast	24.8	13.8	16.5	20.0		23.2	22.5	22.9
State	21.9	19.3 .	16.1	17.1	21.7	24.0	21.5	19.5

WHEAT YIELDS VARY CONSIDERABLY IN 1962

Dry spring weather, stem rust, high temperatures and other detrimental factors resulted in below average wheat yields per acre in 1962 throughout the State. The crop of 53,820,000 bushels averaged only 19.5 bushels per acre, which was the lowest yield since 1956. Test weights and protein content showed wide variation and were below average also.

Yields per harvested acre of the different varieties of wheat were developed from growers reports on the special wheat survey made in late 1962. These yields represent the over-all average by districts and for the State. They do not offer a valid comparison of yields by varieties such as is obtained by growing the different varieties under similar or controlled conditions of experimental plots. They do, however, reflect the harvested yields obtained under all the varied conditions experienced throughout the area where specific varieties are grown.

In 1962, carly maturing varieties, though hampered by dry weather and retarded early growth, escaped the severe ravages of stem rust, which hit the late maturing varieties a staggering blow.

Of the important varieties, the average yield for Wichita was the highest in 1962. Nebred yields per acre were the lowest for the State as a whole and mostly below every crop reporting district average yield. Pawnee and Warrior wheats exceeded the State average yield per acre, but Cheyenne and Bison fell slightly below the average over-all yield. Omaha wheat made a good showing at the State level. Other minor varieties exceeding the over-all average State yield were Triumph, Ottawa, Ponca, and Rodco.

WHEAT QUALITY, 1962 CROP NEBRASKA: Reported Test Variety Weight Reported Sedimentation per bu. Protein Content /1 Value /2 Pounds Percent Pawnee 56.8 10.6 30.0 Chevenne 54.9 10.5 32.4 Nebred 53.3 11.1 31.6 46.7 Bison 55.8 11.8 Warrior 56.1 10.9 34.2 Wichita 59.2 37.7 11.9 Nebraska total 55.9 <u>All Varietics</u> 36.7

 $\sqrt{1}$ As reported by wheat growers on annual wheat survey.

As determined from 4,414 samples of farm stored wheat tested by the Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service. Sedimentation classified by varieties where reported. About 19 percent of the samples could not be classified by varieties.

	WHEAT	QUALITY 1962 CROP /1			
	Test Weight		Sedimentation		
State	per bu.	Protein Content	Value		
	Pounds	Percent			
Colorado · · ·	61.2	12.14	42.7		
Kansas	61.1	11.7	49.		

/1 Colorado data obtained from samples of wheat collected at county elevators.

Kansas data were obtained from carlot shipments to terminal markets.

WHEAT QUALITY BELOW AVERAGE IN 1962

While comparable statistics are lacking on quality of the Nebraska wheat crop in previous years to make precise comparisons, it is evident that quality of the 1962 crop was below average in all sections of the State and for most varieties grown. Yield and test weight data from state-wide variety test plots showed 1962 was below average in all sections of the State.

The average reported test weight of 55.9 pounds, is recognized as fairly low for the State. Test weights showed a wide range as expected, considering the heavy widespread stem rust infection. Early maturing varieties suffered from warm, dry weather, which cut test weight, while the later maturing varieties were plagued by rust and hail. Hessian fly infestation caused damage in local areas in southern Nebraska.

Protein content was reported by wheat growers at 11.1 percent for the State as a whole. While no over-all averages are available for past comparisons, the State is a recognized producer of high protein wheat and most qualified observers would expect the long-time State average to exceed the reported protein content of 11.1 percent for 1962. Sedimentation values, as obtained from tests made of farm-stored wheat, also emphasize the low quality crop of 1962.

Data for the Colorado and Kansas 1962 wheat crop have been included in this report to furnish state comparisons for wheat grown in the Central Plains. In Kansas, the state average sedimentation value from tests made at terminal markets was identical to the average sedimentation from tests made on farm-stored wheat.

ROCK - WHEAT -- REPORTED TEST WEIGHT PER BUSHEL BY VARIETIES AND by Crop Reporting Districts, 1962

Crop Reporting	:			:	:		: Other	: All
Districts	Pawnee:	Cheyenne	:Nebred	:Bison	:Warrior	:Wichita	:Varieties ·	: Varieties
	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.	Lbs.
Northwest	59	54	54	58	56	60	55	54.8
North	/1	pa 40	45	48	<u>/1</u>		52	46.8
Northeast	52	/1	46	/1			57	52.6
Central	48	71	- 47	51	51	/1	48	49.0
East Central	56	$\overline{71}$	54	55	~ ~	<u>/1</u> 56	57	55.9
Southwest	58	56	56	58	58	59	58	57.0
South Central	56	54	. 54	55	55	58	56	55.3
Southéast	: "59	/1	56	57		60	60	58.8
State	56.8	54.9	53.3	55.8	56.1	59.2	58.3	55.9

/1 Relatively small acreage. Included in State average.

,	NEBRAS	KA: SEDIM	ENT	ATION VALUE OF	FARM	STORED	WHEAT	BY	VARIETIES	1962	CROP /1
	:	Number of	:	Average	:		1.,	:	Number of	:	Average
Variety	7 :	Samples	;	Sedimentation	:	Variety	, -,	:	Samples	:	Sedimentation .
,	<u> </u>	Tested	:	Value	:			:	Tested	:	Value
Bison	,	846		46.7		Kiowa			16		47.0
Cheyenn	e	816		32.4		Triumph	l		129		46.8
Comanch	le	_ 16		32.6	•	Rodco		; ;*	18		55.3
Nebred		429		31.6		Wichita			108		37.7
Om., ha		50		44.1		Warrior			95		34 2
Ottawa		7		41.6		Concho			3		39.0
Pawnee		950		30.0		Unknown	L		832		38.1
Ponca		99		40.3		All Var	ietic	s	4,414		36.7

/1 Summary of 4,414 samples of farm-stored wheat tested by the Agriculture Stabilization and Conservation Service in connection with Commodity Credit Corporation loan applications, 1962.

SEDIMENTATION VALUE

The sedimentation test is designed to indicate strength and quality of wheat, for breadbaking purposes by measuring combined quantity and quality of the gluten in wheat. Gluten proteins absorb water and swell when treated with lactic acid under certain conditions. The amount of water absorbed and the extent of swelling depend on the quantity and quality of gluten in the wheat sample. Low sedimentation with values of 39 or below are usually low in protein and considered weak in gluten. Values from 40 to 59 usually indicate good quality gluten, and values above 60 usually have high protein and superior gluten qualities.

The U. S. Department of Agriculture in the 1962 Crop Loan Program used the sedimentation test to establish premium loan rates for the 1962 wheat crop based on sedimentation value. Premiums on wheat were 3 cents on sedimentation values of 40 to 42, inclusive, and one cent for each point of sedimentation value up to a maximum premium of 25 cents, which was offered for wheat with sedimentation values of 64 or more.

Gluten protein as reflected in the sedimentation value of wheat is basically determined by (1) the variety and (2) the conditions under which the crop is grown. Some authorities on the subject are of the opinion that the environment under which the crop is grown may -have a greater influence than variety on sedimentation values in wheat. Hence, cultural practices, soil fertility, heat, drought, disease, the amount and timeliness of rainfall, and other factors can affect the gluten quality in the grain and thereby influence tan sedimentation value.

In view of this information, it is evident that the relative sedimentation values of any variety may vary from year to year, from field to field, and from one area of the State to another, depending on how it responds to the prevailing conditions which modify protein quantity and quality. For example, heat and low humidity during the ripening period may adversely affect protein quality and thus sedimentation to varying degrees, depending on relative maturity of the crop at the time the condition occurs. In 1962, the sedimentation of certain varieties may have been distorted by rust damage or protein levels within the area where they are principally grown. Also, it is quite possible that there are still unknown factors controlling sedimentation which may vary from one variety to another.

Thus, it appears too early to arrive at positive conclusions on the sedimentation value of any single variety and growers are cautioned against use of the figures in the sedimentation summary as a basis for selecting the variety to be grown on their own farm. The grower's chances for top yields, and high sedimentation lie in choosing a variety recommended by the Nebraska Agricultural Experiment Station and by using good cultural practices. pul aput a the const bits acompanies in the contrast section of the contrast s

NEBRASKA: SEDIMENTATION VALUE OF FARM STORED WHEAT,

			. .	By Countie	28,	1962 Crop	/1		
COUNTY	:	Number of	:	Average	:	COUNTY	!	Number of :	Λverage
AND	:	Samples	:	Sedimentation	:	AND	:	Samples :	Sedimentation
DISTRICT	1	Tested	:	Value	:	DISTRICT	:	Tested :	Value
Banner		29		30.0		Butler		37	39.3
Box Butte:		151		27.8		Cass		14	26.1
Cheyenne		117		33.8		Colfax		4	29.4
Dawes		31		32.3		Dodge		30	21.5
Deuel		104		37.8		Douglas		1	17.5
Garden		56		34.2		Hamilton.		30	37.1
Kimball		16		33.6		Lancaster		59	31.1
Morrill		73		30.2		Merrick		6	47.2
Scotts Bluf:		4		26.2		Nance		14	33.9
Sheridan		118		32.7		Platte		14	2 9. 8
Sioux						Polk		26	30.9
NORTHWEST		699		32.3		Sarpy			
						Saunders.		20	23.7
Arthur						Seward		95	33.3
Blaine						Washington		1	40.0
Boyd						York		32	35.9
Brown						EAST		383	32.2
Cherry		3		25.8					
Garfield				~~~		Chase		223	44.0
Grant				***		Dundy		83	46.5
Holt		2		38.2		Frontier.		77	40.5
Hooker						Hayes		197	43.6
Keya Paha		3		25.8		Hitchcock		223	42.7
Logan		5		49.5		Keith		175	31.9
Loup						Lincoln		161	37.9
McPherson						Perkins	• • • •	370	33.0
Rock						Red Willo	w	163	37.3
Thomas						SOUTHWEST	• • • •	1,672	38.8
Wheeler									
NORTH	• •	13		36. 8		Adams		38	38.4
				•		Franklin.		27	41.3
Antelope						Furnas	- · · ·	107	35.3
Boone						Gosper		61	43.0
Burt						Harlan		130	37.0
Cedar						Kearney		38	33.1
Cuming						Phelps		87	32.9
Dakota						Webster		32	46.8
Dixon						SOUTH	• • • •	520	37.3
Knox				17.5		01		42	3 5. 5
Madison		1		17.5		Clay Fillmore.		122	38.6
Pierce						Gage		134	35.8
Stanton Thurston						Jefferson		206	39.3
Wayne				~~~		Johnson		27	40.5
NORTHEAST		1		17.5		Nemaha		23	46.7
HOMETIMAT.		•		~,,,		Nuckolls.		48	46.0
Buffalo		6		31.5		Otoe		23	36.6
Custer		28		28.4		Pawnee		17	44.1
Dawson		19		44.5		Richards		10	46.1
Greeley						Saline		322	36.7
Hall		1		54.0		Thayer		56	46.6
Howard		4		31.4		SOUTHEAST		1,030	38.8
Sherman		1		22.5				<u> </u>	
Valley		4		26.4					
CENTRAL		63		33.9		NEBRASKA		4,381	36.7

¹ As determined from farm stored wheat tested by the Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service.

The State-Federal Division of Agricultural Statistics expresses appreciation to J. C. Swinbank, Extension Agronomist, College of Agriculture, University of Nebraska for his review, advice and contributions to various phases of the project and to the Nebraska Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service, U. S. Department of Agriculture, for making certain data available for study, analysis and publication through Program Specialist, Grain Storage Management, James W. Lemley.

In addition, the cooperation of several thousand Nebraska Wheat Growers who volunatrily sent in reports on the 1962 wheat crop is gratefully appreciated.